

# Project Grant Peer Review

Project Reference No:

Subprogram PRVI\_O:

Project Acronym:

Review Date:

Excellence Score:

Impact Score:

Implementation Score:

Total Score:

Title of Proposed Project

## Review Information

Response Due Date		Reviewer Reference:	
-------------------	--	---------------------	--

## Excellence

Please score and comment on excellence of the proposed research.

Excellence Assessment	Points
Are the specific objectives for the Project clear and measurable? (0-5)	
Are the specific objectives realistic and achievable within the duration of the Project? (0-5)	
Is the proposed research scientifically well founded? (0-5)	
Is the proposed research beyond the state-of-the-art and ground-breaking? (0-5)	
Are the results of the proposed research significant and applicable? (0-5)	
Is the quality of the proposed scientific results/publications excellent and relevant for the AI area? (0-5)	
Are the methods of data management such as data collection, analysis and statistics well defined and appropriate? (0-5)	
Does the researcher propose line of research with clear progression and novelty in relation to previous research in the field or is he/she simply adding details to existing knowledge? (0-5)	
<b>Total (max 40 points)</b>	

Note: Assign points using scores as: 0 (fail), 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

## Description of the Excellence score (maximum 4000 characters)

(For multi-disciplinary proposals please state which aspects of the proposal you feel qualified to assess)

## Impact

Please score and comment on the pathway to impact identified for this work.

Impact Assessment	Points
Does the proposed research have an impact on the society, industry, environment, and competitive advantage of the economy? (0-5)	
Does the proposed research contribute to the development of new collaboration, multidisciplinary teams, and launching research in new areas and directions? (0-5)	
Does the proposed research contribute to the Serbian science, economy or society? (0-5)	
Are the proposed measures for dissemination and application of the results adequate and well planned? (0-5)	
Are the acquired data available for other researchers beyond the project team (open research and open data issues)? (0-5)	
Are beneficiaries from project results and stakeholders well defined and supported with evidence on its needs? (0-5)	
<b>Total (max 30 points)</b>	

Note: Assign points using scores as: 0 (fail), 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

### Description of the Impact score (maximum 4,000 characters)

## Implementation

Please comment on the applicants' ability to deliver the proposed project, the effectiveness of the proposed planning and management and on whether the requested resources are appropriate and have been fully justified.

Implementation Assessment	Points
What is the quality of credentials of the principal investigator, including his/her verified scientific achievements in AI? (0-5)	
What is the quality of credentials of other members of the Project team? (0-5)	
Coherence and effectiveness of the research methodology and work plan to achieve project objectives and impacts, including adequate allocation of resources to tasks and members (0-5)	
Role and complementarity of the participants and extent to which the project team as a whole brings together the necessary expertise (0-5)	
Is the risk management properly implemented? (0-5)	
Is the budget realistic and well balanced? (0-5)	
<b>Total (max 30 points)</b>	

Note: Assign points using scores as: 0 (fail), 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

### Description of the Implementation score (maximum 4000 characters)

## Overall Assessment

Overall Score (enter the total number of points):	
---	--

Please summarise your view of the proposal (maximum 4000 characters).

--

My judgement is that (Place an **X** next to the relevant option):

This proposal is scientifically or technically flawed	
This proposal does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria	
This proposal meets all assessment criteria but with clear weaknesses	
This is a good proposal that meets all assessment criteria but with minor weaknesses	
This is a strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria	
This is a very strong proposal that fully meets all assessment criteria	

---

**Reviewer Expertise (maximum 4000 characters)**

Please indicate the areas of expertise that are relevant to your assessment. Take care not to reveal your identity to the applicant.