

## Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia – IDENTITIES programme

# Second Stage of Evaluation Report

Project Reference No:

Project Acronym:

Review Date:

Excellence Score:

Impact Score:

Implementation Score:

Total Score:

Title of Proposed Project

## Overall Assessment

Overall Score (enter the total number of points):

My judgement is that (Place an X next to the relevant option):

This proposal is scientifically or technically flawed	
This proposal does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria	
This proposal meets all assessment criteria but with clear weaknesses	
This is a good proposal that meets all assessment criteria but with minor weaknesses	
This is a strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria	
This is a very strong proposal that fully meets all assessment criteria	

Please summarise your view of the proposal (min. 500 – max. 1000 words).

## EXCELLENCE

Q nb.	Question	points 1 - 10
Q1	Are the presented objectives significant and reasonable in relation to the main aim(s) and ambition of the project proposal?	
Q2	Are the objectives formulated in a “SMART” (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Timely) way?	
Q3	Does the proposal describe concepts and methodology underpinning the project, indicating the scientific quality of the research?	
TOTAL SCORE (max. 30)		

Description of the Excellence score (min. 500 – max. 1000 words)

Strengths:

Weakness:

## IMPACT

Q nb.	Question	points 1 - 10
Q1	Does the proposal present a credible plan how to achieve the expected impact envisaged by the project in the short- and long-term perspective?	
Q2	Does the proposal identify a target group, stakeholders and / or beneficiaries who will be impacted by the project?	
Q3	Does the proposal describe how dissemination and/or exploitation of results will contribute to the dialogue between science and the general public and/or public policies?	
Q4	Does the proposal outline the communication strategy for promotion of the project and its outcomes in a sustainable way?	
TOTAL SCORE (max. 40)		

## Description of the Impact score (min. 500 – max. 1000 words)

Strengths:

Weakness:

## IMPLEMENTATION

Q nb.	Question	points 1 - 10
Q1	Do the PI and the project team members demonstrate the necessary scientific/scholarly credentials necessary for the project implementation?	
Q2	Is the implementation plan (WPs, timeframe, deliverables and milestones, budget and risk analysis) presented in a coherent way, indicating the effectiveness of the project proposal?	
Q3	Does the risk management analysis elaborate the feasibility of the implementation plan, and does it properly identify the mitigation measures?	
TOTAL SCORE (max. 30)		

### Description of the Implementation score (min. 500 – max. 1000 words)

<b>Strengths:</b>
<b>Weakness:</b>

## CLARIFICATIONS

Description of all the issues that need to be resolved or clarified during project presentation and discussions. (min. 500 – max. 1000 words)

<b>Strengths:</b>
-------------------

**Weakness:**

**RISKS**

**Description of all the all significant risks that reviewers emphasized which need to be considered (min. 500 – max. 1000 words)**

**Strengths:**

**Weakness:**

**FINAL RECOMMENDATION**

**Please summarise your recommendations for the proposal (min. 500 – max. 1000 words).**

---