

Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia – IDENTITIES programme

Project Grant
Peer Review

Project Reference No:

Project Acronym:

Review Date:

Excellence Score:

Impact Score:

Implementation Score:

Total Score:

Title of Proposed Project

Review Information

Response Due Date

Reviewer Reference:

EXCELLENCE

Q nb.	Question	points 1 - 5
Q1	Does the proposal demonstrate a comprehensive command of the state of the art in the field and present the main aim(s) in a relevant and timely manner?	
Q2	Does the proposal describe how the main aim(s) will advance the state of the art in the field, indicating the novelty of the project?	
Q3	Are the presented objectives significant and reasonable in relation to the main aim(s) of the project proposal?	
Q4	Are the objectives formulated in a “SMART” (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Timely) way?	
Q5	Does the proposal describe concepts and methodology underpinning the project, indicating the scientific quality of the research?	
Q6	Does the proposal indicate the importance of the project outcomes in future prospects of the scientific field?	
TOTAL SCORE (max. 30)		

Description of the Excellence score (min. 500 – max. 1000 words)

IMPACT

Q nb.	Question	points 1 - 5
Q1	Does the proposal clearly identify relevant and realistic impacts for science, society, economy, education, and culture?	
Q2	Does the proposal present a credible plan how to achieve the expected impact envisaged by the project in the short- and long-term perspective?	
Q3	Does the proposal clearly contribute to knowledge creation, transfer of knowledge and young researchers development?	
Q4	Does the proposal identify a target group, stakeholders and / or beneficiaries who will be impacted by the project?	
Q5	Is the plan for dissemination and/or exploitation of the project results clear and attainable?	
Q6	Does the proposal describe how dissemination and/or exploitation of results will contribute to the dialogue between science and the general public and/or public policies?	
Q7	Does the proposal include measures to provide an open access to peer-reviewed scientific publications resulting from the project?	
Q8	Does the proposal outline the communication strategy for promotion of the project and its outcomes in a sustainable way?	
TOTAL SCORE (max. 40)		

Description of the Impact score (min. 500 – max. 1000 words)

IMPLEMENTATION

Q nb.	Question	points 1 - 5
Q1	Do the PI and the project team members demonstrate the necessary scientific/scholarly credentials necessary for the project implementation?	
Q2	Is the implementation plan (WPs, timeframe, deliverables and milestones, budget and risk analysis) presented in a coherent way, indicating the effectiveness of the project proposal?	
Q3	Does the proposal present an appropriate description of work packages, followed by the timeframe illustration (Gantt), to ensure the achievement of the project objectives?	
Q4	Does the proposal provide a detailed description of the major deliverables and milestones, indicating the the achievement of the project's progress and success by stages?	
Q5	Are the budget categories described and presented in a justified and realistic way?	
Q6	Does the risk management analysis elaborate the feasibility of the implementation plan, and does it properly identify the mitigation measures?	
TOTAL SCORE (max. 30)		

Description of the Implementation score (min. 500 – max. 1000 words)

Overall Assessment

Overall Score (enter the total number of points):	
---	--

Expert's judgement is that (Place an X next to the relevant option):

This proposal is scientifically or technically flawed	
This proposal does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria	
This proposal meets all assessment criteria but with clear weaknesses	
This is a good proposal that meets all assessment criteria but with minor weaknesses	
This is a strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria	
This is a very strong proposal that fully meets all assessment criteria	

Please summarise your view of the proposal (min. 500 – max. 1000 words).

Reviewer Expertise (min. 500 – max. 1000 words)

Please indicate the areas of expertise that are relevant to your assessment. Take care not to reveal your identity to the applicant.