Science Fund of the	e Republic of Ser	rbia - Green progr	am of coo	peration b	etween science	and indu	ıstry
		Project Reference No:	:	Excellence Sco	ore:		
Project Gran	t	Project Acronym:		Impact Score:			
•		Review Date:		Implementation	on Score:		
Peer Review		Review Date:		Total Score:			
				Total Score.			
Title of Proposed Project				•			
Review Information							
			Reviewe	r			
Response Due Date			Reference				
36 2 1	(DI						
My judgement is that	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
	· · ·	meets all assessment creets all assessment cr					
		ssessment criteria but		r waalmassa			
		eria but with clear we		i weaknesses	•		
		re of the assessment c					
This proposal is scien			1116114				
Tills proposal is selec	Titilically of technic	Larry Haweu					
Compared to the previ	ious work of the ap	pplicants, mark the no	velty of the	e proposed id	lea (Place an X n	ext to the r	elevan
option): It is a completely no	aval idea for the en	nlicants					
It is a completely no							
		their previous work a	nd renrese	nte justified (extension		
It is incremental rese			ina represe	nio juonineu (ZACHSIOH		
		part of the applicants	hut its an	nlication is r	novel		
		or financed in other					
	· ·	ready been implement					
		e section of the excell			application		

Excellence

Please score and comment on excellence of the proposed research.

1.Excellence Assessment	Points (1-5)
1.1. Are the specific objectives for the Project clear and measurable?	
1.2. Are the specific objectives realistic and achievable within the duration of the Project?	
1.3. Is the proposed research scientifically founded in recent scientific publications?	
1.4. Is the proposed research work ambitious and goes beyond the state-of-the-art?	
1.5. Are the planned results of the proposed research likely to be significant and applicable in the field	
of selected program topic and Program goals?	
1.6. Is the proposed research likely to lead to publications in high-impact journals/books and other	
scholarly publications relevant for the scientific field of the proposal, as well as new patents, technical	
solutions, and other forms of intellectual property?	
1.7. Is the proposed research methodology appropriate to achieve the goals of the project?	
1.8. To what extent is the proposed research original and novel, not just an extension of previous	
results? (Briefly justify in the description of the Excellence score your judgement about the novelty of	
the Proposed Project compared to the previous work of the applicants).	
Total (max 40 points)	

Note: Assign points using scores as: 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Excellence score (maximum 4000 ch	naracters)
Please justify the given score and provide argumentation.	. Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the

Excellence of the proposal.	8	•	8	

_	
Im	naat
	pact

Please score and comment on the pathway to impact identified for this work.

2. Impact Assessment	Points (1-5)
2.1. Does the proposed research have a potential to positively impact the environment, climate change mitigation, sustainable use of natural resources, circular economy and biodiversity restoration in accordance with the Program goals and selected topic?	
2.2. Does the proposed research have a potential to contribute to the development of the specific scientific field?	
2.3. Does the proposed research have a potential to contribute to the science, economy or society at the national level?	
2.4. Are the proposed measures for dissemination and application of the results adequate and well planned?	
2.5. Will the research results and/or acquired data be made available for other researchers beyond the project team (open research and open data, excluding project results which are foreseen as subject/s to the IP rights protection)?	
2.6. Does the proposed research identify stakeholders and beneficiaries who will be impacted by the Project?	
Total (max 30 points)	

Note: Assign points using scores as 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the impact score (maximum 4,000 characters)	Description of the	Impact score (maximum	4,000 characters)
--	---------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation. Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the
Impact of the proposal.

Implementation

Please comment on the applicants' ability and commitment to deliver the proposed project, the effectiveness of the proposed planning and management and on whether the requested resources are appropriate and have been fully justified.

justified.	
3. Implementation Assessment	Points (1-5)
3.1. Does the PI demonstrate the necessary scientific/scholarly credentials and scientific leadership in the field of the project proposal?	
3.2. Do the key members of the Project team demonstrate the necessary scientific/scholarly credentials necessary for its execution?	
3.3. Are the proposed research methodology and work plan coherent and effective to achieve the Project objectives and impact, including adequate allocation of resources to tasks and members?	
3.4. Are the roles of the Project team members and consortium partners clearly outlined, and are their fields of expertise complementary, such that the Project team has all the necessary know-how and access to critical infrastructure needed to carry out the project activities?	
3.5. Is the risk management properly implemented and are the mitigation measures well planned?	
3.6. Is the budget realistic and well balanced?	
Total (max 30 points)	
Note: Assign points using soons on 1 (poor) 2 (fair) 2 (good) 4 (year) good) and 5 (gyadlant)	

Note: Assign points using scores as: 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Implementation score (maximum 400	O characters)
Please justify the given score and provide argumentation	Make cure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the

Implementation of the proposal.

	t					
)verall Score (ei	nter the total num	ber of points):				
lease summarise weaknesses of the	your view of the proposal.	e proposal (maxi	mum 4000 char	acters). Make su	ıre you state b	oth strengths
iewer Expertise	e (maximum 4000	characters)				
•		,				
	e areas of expertise					
plicant. For mu	lti-disciplinary pro	posals please state	e which aspects of	of the proposal yo	ou feel qualified	to assess.