

Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia – Program Proof of Concept (PoC)

<h1>Project Proposal Evaluation Form</h1>	Project Reference No:	Excellence Score:	
	Project Acronym:	Impact Score:	
	Review Date:	Implementation Score:	
		Total Score:	

Title of Proposed Project

Review Information

My judgement is that (Place an X next to the relevant option):	
This is a very strong proposal that fully meets all assessment criteria	
This is a strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria	
This is a good proposal that meets all assessment criteria but with minor weaknesses	
This proposal meets all assessment criteria but with clear weaknesses	
This proposal does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria	
This proposal is scientifically or technically flawed	

Compared to the previous work of the applicants, mark the novelty of the proposed idea (Place an X next to the relevant option):	
It is a completely novel idea for the applicants	
It is a novel idea but based on their previous work	
It is partially investigated/developed in their previous work and represents justified extension	
It is incremental research compared to their previous work	
This idea has been implemented by one part of the applicants, but its application is novel	
The idea is concurrently developed and/or financed in other project(s) by the applicants	
The idea of the Proposed Project has already been implemented and/or published by the applicants	
Other (provide comment in the narrative section of the excellence score)	

Excellence

Please score and comment on the excellence of the proposed research.

1.Excellence Assessment	Points (1-5)
1.1. Is the idea of the project proposal scientifically grounded?	
1.2. Is the concept to be proven clearly formulated?	
1.3. To what extent is the proposed research original and unique, and not based on routine changes to existing products, production lines, production processes, services and other already established operations?	
1.4. Has the applicant identified the closest prior art?	
1.5. Does the proposed technology, product or service have potential for creation of new IP or know-how?	
1.6. Is it likely that the Application can result in the creation of new technologies, products or services?	
Total (max 30 points)	

Note: Assign points using scores as: 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Excellence score (maximum 4000 characters)

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation. Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the Excellence of the proposal.

Impact

Please score and comment on the pathway to impact identified for this work.

2. Impact Assessment	Points (1-5)
2.1. Does the proposed research have a potential to contribute to the science, economy or society at the national level?	
2.2. Does the Application describe benefits and potential use of the concept for future use?	
2.3. Is the value proposition resulting from the proposed concept clearly formulated and understandable?	
2.4. Does the proposed approach, if successful, have potential to lead to a marketable technology, product or process?	
2.5. Evaluate the competitive advantage of this technology vs. alternate technologies that can meet the same market needs.	
2.6. Will this lead towards the establishing of the first-mover advantage?	
2.7. Assess the overall impact of a potential technology, product or process.	
Total (max 35 points)	

Note: Assign points using scores as: 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Impact score (maximum 4,000 characters)

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation. Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the Impact of the proposal.

Implementation

Please comment on the applicants' ability and commitment to deliver the proposed project, the effectiveness of the proposed planning and management and on whether the requested resources are appropriate and have been fully justified.

3. Implementation Assessment	Points (1-5)
3.1. Is the plan laid down in the Application a sound approach for proving the proposed concept?	
3.2. Are the overall methodology and activities well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the proposed development of the technology, product or service?	
3.3. How feasible is the proposed process of proving the concept proposed in the Application?	
3.4. Are the items listed in the budget in line with the proposed activities (e.g. man hours, materials)?	
3.5. Does the PI have adequate skills, education, knowledge, and experience to coordinate the proposed project?	
3.6. Does the project team have adequate skills, education, knowledge, and experience to execute the proposed project?	
3.7. To what extent the publication track record fits with the proposed project?	
Total (max 35 points)	

Note: Assign points using scores as: 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Implementation score (maximum 4000 characters)

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation. Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the Implementation of the proposal.

Overall Assessment

Overall Score (enter the total number of points):

Please summarise your view of the proposal (maximum 4000 characters). Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses of the proposal.