

Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia – Serbian Scientific Cooperation Program with the Diaspora: Support for Visits of Diaspora Scientists			
Project Grant Peer Review	Project Reference No:	Excellence Score:	
	Project Acronym:	Impact Score:	
	Review Date:	Implementation Score:	
		Total Score:	

Title of Proposed Project

--

Excellence

Please score and comment on the excellence of the proposed research.

1.Excellence Assessment	Points (1-5)
1.1. Are the described objectives clear, measurable, realistic and achievable?	
1.2. Is the overall idea of the Project Proposal scientifically well founded?	
1.3. Does the proposed research go beyond the state-of-the-art?	
1.4. Does the Project Partner(s) from diaspora support and contribute to the excellence of the Project Proposal?	
Total (max 20 points)	

Note: Assign points using the following range: 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Excellence score (maximum 4000 characters)

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation. Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the Excellence of the proposal.

Strengths:
Weaknesses:

Impact

Please score and comment on the pathway to impact identified for this work.

2. Impact Assessment	Points (1-5)
2.1. Does the proposed research have a potential to contribute to the development of the specific scientific field?	
2.2. Is the Project Proposal strengthening research potentials and mutual benefits of collaboration? Does the Project Proposal have a potential to contribute to development/advancement of scientific collaboration with the Partner(s) from Diaspora?	
2.3. Are the proposed measures for dissemination adequate? Will the research results and/or acquired data be made available for other researchers beyond the project team (open research and open data)?	
2.4. Are the expected long-term effects of the project ensured and do they contribute to development/advancement of international collaboration?	
Total (max 20 points)	

Note: Assign points using the following range: 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Impact score (maximum 4,000 characters)

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation. Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the Impact of the proposal.

Impact of the proposal:	
Strengths:	
Weaknesses:	

Please comment on the applicants' ability and commitment to deliver the proposed project, the effectiveness of the proposed planning and management and on whether the requested resources are appropriate and have been fully justified.

Note: Assign points using the following range: 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation. Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the Implementation of the proposal.

Overall Assessment

Please summarise your view of the proposal (maximum 4000 characters). Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses of the proposal.

Final recommendation

Please select one of the following categories to characterise the project proposal:

YES - Excellent project proposal in terms of excellence, impact and implementation. Recommended for funding.	
cYes - High quality project proposal in terms of excellence, impact and implementation. Conditionally recommended for funding if sufficient funds are available.	
No - Mid or low-quality project proposals in terms of excellence, impact and implementation. Not recommended for funding within the available funds.	