

Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia

Joint Research Program of Scientists from the Republic of Serbia and the People's Republic of China

Project Grant Peer Review	Project Reference No.	Excellence Score:	
	Scientific field: Choose an item.	Impact Score:	
	Project Acronym:	Implementation Score:	
		Total Score:	

Title of Proposed Project

Excellence

Please score and comment on the excellence of the proposed research.

1.Excellence Assessment	Points (1-5)
1.1. Are the specific objectives for the Project clear and measurable?	
1.2. Are the specific objectives realistic and achievable within the duration of the Project?	
1.3. Is the proposed research scientifically founded in recent scientific publications?	
1.4. Is the proposed research work ambitious and goes beyond the state-of-the-art?	
1.5. Are the planned results of the proposed research likely to be significant and (where relevant) applicable?	
1.6. Is the proposed research likely to lead to publications in high-impact journals/books and other scholarly publications relevant for the scientific field of the proposal?	
1.7. Is the proposed research methodology appropriate to achieve the goals of the Project?	
1.8. To what extent is the proposed research original and novel, not just an extension of previous results? (Briefly justify in the description of the Excellence score your judgment about the novelty of the Proposed Project compared to the previous work of the applicants)	
1.9. Are Open Science principles and practices adequately integrated into the proposed research methodology?	
1.10. Does the proposed research offer credible perspectives for further scientific advancement through the generation of new knowledge and/or the development of new technologies, and provide a sound basis for further research?	
Total (max 50 points)	

Note: Assign points using the following range: 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Excellence score (maximum 4000 characters)

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation. Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the Excellence of the proposal.

Strengths:
Weaknesses:

Impact

Please score and comment on the pathway to impact identified for this work.

2. Impact Assessment	Points (1-5)
2.1. Does the proposed research have the potential to have a global impact on society, economy, industry, climate change, environment, healthcare, education and other aspects of social development?	
2.2. Does the proposed research have the potential to contribute to the development of a specific scientific field?	
2.3. Does the proposed research have a potential to contribute to the science, economy or society at the national level in the Republic of Serbia and the People's Republic of China?	
2.4. Are the proposed measures for promotion, visibility, dissemination and application of the Project results adequate and well planned?	
2.5. Does the project clearly demonstrate the added value of bilateral cooperation between the Republic of Serbia and the People's Republic of China?	
2.6. Does the proposed research identify stakeholders and beneficiaries who will be impacted by the Project?	
Total (max 30 points)	

Note: Assign points using the following range: 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Impact score (maximum 4,000 characters)

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation. Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the Impact of the proposal.

Strengths:
Weaknesses:

Implementation

Please comment on the applicants’ ability and commitment to deliver the proposed project, the effectiveness of the proposed planning and management and on whether the requested resources are appropriate and have been fully justified.

3. Implementation Assessment	Points (1-5)
3.1. Is the implementation plan realistic, coherent, and feasible, with well-defined work packages, tasks, deliverables, milestones, appropriate timing, balanced team effort, adequate resource allocation, and an effective bilateral workflow?	
3.2. Do the PI and key team members from the Republic of Serbia demonstrate the necessary scientific/scholarly credentials, and the leadership, are the roles of the Project team members and consortium partners clearly outlined, and are their fields of expertise complementary? Does the Project team have all the necessary know-how and access to critical infrastructure needed to carry out the project activities?	
Do the PI and key team members from the Republic of Serbia demonstrate the necessary the scientific/scholarly credentials, managerial competence necessary for the successful implementation of the Project, with clearly defined roles and responsibilities, effective leadership, and complementary expertise across the bilateral partners?	
3.3. Is the risk management properly implemented and are the mitigation measures well planned?	
3.4. Is the budget realistic and well balanced?	
Total (max 20 points)	

Note: Assign points using the following range: 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good) and 5 (excellent).

Description of the Implementation score (maximum 4000 characters)

Please justify the given score and provide argumentation. Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses in the Implementation of the proposal.

Strengths:
Weaknesses:

Novelty in relation to the previous work of the applicants

Compared to the previous work of the applicants, mark the novelty of the proposed idea (Place an X next to the relevant option):

It is a completely novel idea for the applicants	
It is a novel idea but based on their previous work	
It is partially investigated/developed in their previous work and represents justified extension	
It is incremental research compared to their previous work	
This idea has been implemented by one part of the applicants, but its application is novel	
The idea is concurrently developed and/or financed in other project(s) by the applicants	
The idea of the Proposed Project has already been implemented and/or published by the applicants	
Other (provide comment in the narrative section of the excellence score)	

Overall judgment

Mark the overall judgment of the project proposal (Place an X next to the relevant option):

This is a very strong proposal that fully meets all assessment criteria	
This is a strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria	
This is a good proposal that meets all assessment criteria but with minor weaknesses	
This proposal meets all assessment criteria but with clear weaknesses	
This proposal does not meet one or more of the assessment criteria	
This proposal is scientifically or technically flawed	

Summary Assessment

Please summarize your view of the proposal (maximum 4000 characters). Make sure you state both strengths and weaknesses of the proposal.